

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,804		10/01/2001	Holger Hauptmann	0475-0193P	6560
2292	7590	01/08/2003			
		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1731	12
				DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I A self self self	A91
	Application N	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/890,804	HAUPTMANN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wil	in the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re by within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (October 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 and 11-13 is/are	withdrawn from considerat	ion.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		o Evaminor
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		* *
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		, and 2, and 2, and 1,
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		plication No.
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	rity documents have been rreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	,	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has be	en received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1731

1. Claims 6-9 and 11-13 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

- 2. This application contains claims 6-9 and 11-13 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 9. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,3,4,5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thurnauer et al. (3,904,352).
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 1731

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurnauer et al. (3,904,352) in view of Tyszblat (5,447,967) for the reasons as set forth in the previous office action.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/25/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103 applicants argue:

In contrast to Thurnauer et al. '352, the present invention dispenses with the plates of Thurnauer et al. '352. In other words, the assembly disclosed in Thurnauer et al. is different from the present invention, and the present invention also produces a different product (i.e. less deformation).

This argument is not persuasive. Col. 6, lines 54-64 of Thurnauer et al. disclose that the article to be fired can be placed directly on the spheres or other rollable elements. Further, there is no evidence that a different product (i.e. less deformation) is produced. Note that arguments of counsel cannot take place of evidence in the record. *In re Pearson*, 494 F.2d 1399, 1405, 181 USPQ 641,646 (CCPA 1974).

The disclosure of a ceramic prosthesis in Tyszblat '967 does not account for how the Thurnauer et al. assembly is different from that of the present invention.

Art Unit: 1731

This argument is not persuasive. It is submitted that the Thurnauer et al. assembly is not different from that of the present invention for the reasons as set forth above.

There is no clear guidance in '352 or '967 that would motivate one skilled in the art to produce the present invention. Instead on skilled in the art would use an assembly that makes a sintered object which would have more deformities or drawbacks than when using the present invention.

This argument is not persuasive. As submitted above, Thurnauer et al. discloses an identical assembly and no evidence has been presented to support the allegation the product produced by the present invention would be superior.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,804

Art Unit: 1731

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731